

College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan



Dr. Anil KUMAR

Charges

Date Charge(s) Laid: Hearing: Charge(s): Outcome Date:

September 30, 2017 November 25, 2017 Unprofessional Conduct November 25, 2017

You Dr. Anil Kumar are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and (g) paragraph 15, and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and (g) paragraph 21, and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and (g) paragraph 24, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) of the regulatory bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) A female person hereinafter referred to in this charge as "Patient Number 1" was your patient;
- b) On or about the 22nd day of August, 2014 you attended Patient Number 1;
- c) Patient Number 1 was opposed to receiving blood products in connection with her medical care;
- d) You performed surgery on Patient Number 1 without discussing the possibility that blood products might be provided to her;
- e) You failed to obtain informed consent for the administration of blood products;
- f) During the course of performing surgery on Patient Number 1, blood products were provided to her.

You Dr. Anil Kumar are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** s.s. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and (g) paragraph 15, and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and (g) paragraph 21, and/or bylaw 7.1 (c) and (g) paragraph 24, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) of the regulatory bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) A male person hereinafter referred to in this charge as "Patient Number 2" was your patient;
- b) On or about the 27th of November, 2013 you performed surgery on Patient Number 2;
- c) You failed to adequately advise Patient Number 2 of the risk of paralysis associated with the surgery that you performed;
- d) You utilized a surgical technique with Patient Number 2 which exposed the patient to an increased risk of compromise of the spinal cord; and
- e) Following the surgery you performed on Patient Number 2, he experienced paralysis.