

Dr. Medhi HORRI

Charges

Effective Date:

Hearing: Charge(s): Outcome Date: January 18, 2019 March 10, 2020 Completed Unprofessional Conduct March 20, 2020

Charges by Council

 The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of The Medical Profession Act, 1981, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Mehdi Horri, namely:

You Dr. Mehdi Horri are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or paragraphs 1, 6, 14, 15 and/or 52 of the Code of Ethics contained in bylaw 7.1. of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan. The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) On or about May 22, 2017 you were involved in the care of a patient identified in this charge by the initials "L.B.";
- *b)* You administered Rocuronium to L.B. without advising STARS personnel that it had been administered;
- *c)* You left a medical student in charge of arranging the transfer of L.B. to Regina without providing appropriate oversight;
- d) You failed to be present when STARS personnel arrived to transfer L.B. to Regina, leaving the medical student to communicate with STARS personnel.
- The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of The Medical Profession Act, 1981, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Mehdi Horri, namely:

You Dr. Mehdi Horri are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or paragraphs 1, 6, 14, 15 and/or 52 of the Code of Ethics contained in bylaw 7.1. of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of

Saskatchewan. The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) On or about April 22, 2017 you were involved in the care of a patient identified in this charge by the initials "A.W.";
- *b)* You provided or arranged for a blood transfusion for A.W.;
- c) You failed to follow the advice of a hemopathologist who was consulted with respect to the care of the patient A.W.;
- *d)* You failed to follow the Transfusion Protocol in place at the hospital when providing or arranging for the blood transfusion for A.W.;
- e) You exhibited anger towards nursing staff involved in the care of A.W.;
- *f*) You placed a chart entry in the chart for A.W to the effect that you might contact the licensing body for nursing.
- 3. The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981,** the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Mehdi Horri, namely:

You Dr. Mehdi Horri are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or paragraphs 1, 6, 14, 15 and/or 52 of the Code of Ethics contained in bylaw 7.1. of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan. The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) On or about April 21, 2017 you were involved in the care of a patient identified in this charge by the initials "Q.L.";
- b) You provided or arranged for an iron infusion for Q.L.;
- c) You provided or arranged for the infusion of fresh frozen plasma for Q.L.;
- *d)* Your actions were not within the standard of practice of the profession.
- 4. The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981,** the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Mehdi Horri, namely:

You Dr. Mehdi Horri are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or paragraphs 1, 6, 14, 15 and/or 52 of the Code of Ethics contained in bylaw 7.1. of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan. The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

a) On or about June 12, 2017 you were involved in the care of a patient identified in this charge by the initials "W.V.";

- *b)* One or more pharmacists involved in the care of W.V. recommended a reduction in the quantities of medications being administered to W.V.;
- c) You failed to record your reasons for not following the recommendations of the pharmacist(s).

Charge by the Executive Committee

The Executive Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981**, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Mehdi Horri, namely:

You Dr. Mehdi Horri are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1, and/or bylaw 26.1 and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix). The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include some or all of the following:

- a) On or October 30, 2018 you provided medical care to a patient identified in this charge as Patient Number 1;
- b) The medical care provided to Patient Number 1 was provided at your medical clinic;
- *c)* Your medical clinic was not approved as a non-hospital treatment facility pursuant to bylaw 26.1;
- d) You provided or arranged for the administration of propofol to Patient Number 1;
- e) Your actions in providing propofol to Patient Number 1 contravened bylaw 26.1;
- *f)* Your actions in providing propofol to Patient Number 1 failed to meet the standard of practice of the profession.