

## College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan



## Dr. Ashis PAUL

## **Charges**

Date Charge(s) Laid:March 30, 2019Hearing:March 20, 2021Charge(s):Improper PrescribingOutcome Date:March 20, 2021

The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of The Medical Profession Act, 1981, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Ashis Paul, namely:

You, Dr. Ashis Paul, are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of The Medical Profession Act, 1981 S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 8.1(b)(ix) and/or bylaw 23.1 and/or paragraphs 1, 2, and/or 4 of bylaw 7.1 of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include one or more of the following:

- a) You failed to maintain the standard of practice of the medical profession in relation to the use of carried doses when prescribing methadone;
- b) Your medical records for patients receiving methadone prescriptions were inadequate and/or failed to meet the requirements of College regulatory bylaw 23.1
- You failed to make appropriate arrangements for counselling for your patients and/or failed to record information related to such counselling;
- d) You failed to follow College of Physicians and Surgeons Standards and Guidelines on the prescribing of methadone related to the use of ECGs and carried doses;

The Council of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.5 of The Medical Profession Act, 1981, the Discipline Committee hear the following charge against Dr. Ashis Paul, namely:

You, Dr. Ashis Paul, are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of The Medical Profession Act, 1981 S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or bylaw 9.1 and/or paragraphs 7 and/or 11 of bylaw 7.1 of the bylaws of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan.

The evidence that will be led in support of this charge will include one or more of the following:

- a) You carried out the practice of medicine at Parliament Methadone Clinic located on Dewdney Avenue, Regina, Saskatchewan;
- b) You had a conflict of interest in relation to your practice of medicine associated with Parliament Methadone Clinic;
- c) The amount which you paid for the use of the premises and/or staff and/or services provided to you was not a fair reflection of the value of the premises and/or staff and/or services;
- d) You were aware that the patients to whom you prescribed methadone at the Parliament Methadone Clinic generally were dispensed methadone at R & C Drugs;
- e) R & C Drugs was located in close proximity to Parliament Methadone Clinic;
- f) You caused Medical Services Plan to be billed for urine drug screens which, if done at all, were not done by you or your staff;