The Executive Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons directs that, pursuant to section 47.6 of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981**, the Discipline Committee hear the following charges against Dr. Ryan Kyliuk, namely:

 You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

The evidence which will be led in support of this particular will include that:

- a) you completed a prescription form dated October 16, 2006 for 80 tablets of Percocet;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "Ryan Kyliuk" as the patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. J. Spelay;
- d) you attended at Shoppers Drug Mart, where you obtained the 80 tablets of Percocet;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 80 tablets of Percocet.
- 2) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

The evidence which will be led in support of this particular will include that:

- a) you completed a prescription form dated October 12, 2006 for 50 tablets of Demerol;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "Ryan Kyliuk" as the patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. J. Spelay;
- d) you attended at Shoppers Drug Mart, where you obtained the 50 tablets of Demerol;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 50 tablets of Demerol.
- 3) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

- a) you completed a prescription form dated August 21, 2006 for 30 tablets of oxycodone IR;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "Ryan Kyliuk" as the patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Werbicki;

- d) you attended at London Drugs, where you obtained the 30 tablets of oxycodone IR;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 30 tablets of oxycodone IR.
- 4) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

The evidence which will be led in support of this particular will include that:

- a) you completed a prescription form dated September 29, 2006 for 60 tablets of Percocet;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "Ryan Kyliuk" as the patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Werbicki;
- d) you attended at Central Avenue Pharmasave, where you obtained the 60 tablets of Percocet;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 60 tablets of Percocet.
- 5) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

The evidence which will be led in support of this particular will include that:

- a) you completed a prescription form dated January 17, 2007 for 100 tablets of Demerol;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "and a state of a state of
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Ganguli;
- d) you attended at Safeway Pharmacy, where you obtained the 100 tablets of Demerol;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 100 tablets of Demerol.
- 6) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

- a) you completed a prescription form dated February 5, 2007 for 100 tablets of Demerol;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "a sthe patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Ganguli;
- d) you attended at Safeway Pharmacy, where you obtained the 100 tablets of Demerol;

- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 100 tablets of Demerol.
- 7) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

The evidence which will be led in support of this particular will include that:

- a) you completed a prescription form dated February 12, 2007 for 80 tablets of Demerol;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "and as the patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Ganguli;
- d) you attended at Drugstore Pharmacy #9060, where you obtained the 80 tablets of Demerol;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 80 tablets of Demerol.
- 8) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

The evidence which will be led in support of this particular will include that:

- a) you completed a prescription form dated February 16, 2007 for 90 tablets of Demerol;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "a sthe patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Ganguli;
- d) you attended at Drugstore Pharmacy #9060, where you obtained the 90 tablets of Demerol;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 90 tablets of Demerol.
- 9) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

- a) you completed a prescription form dated February 19, 2007 for 80 tablets of Demerol;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "**Constant of**" as the patient who was to receive the prescription;
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Ganguli;
- d) you attended at Safeway Pharmacy, where you obtained the 80 tablets of Demerol;

- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 80 tablets of Demerol.
- 10) You Dr. Ryan Kyliuk are guilty of unbecoming, improper, unprofessional, or discreditable conduct contrary to the provisions of Section 46(n) and/or section 46(o) and/or section 46(p) of **The Medical Profession Act, 1981** S.S. 1980-81 c. M-10.1 and/or Bylaw 44(2) and Paragraph 20 of the Code of Ethics.

- a) you completed a prescription form dated February 19, 2007 for 30 tablets of Oxycontin;
- b) you inserted in the prescription form the name "and a state of a state of
- c) you signed that prescription in a manner that indicated that the prescription was signed by a resident for Dr. Ganguli;
- d) you attended at Safeway Pharmacy, where you obtained the 30 tablets of Oxycontin;
- e) there was no medical or therapeutic purpose for you to prescribe the 30 tablets of Oxycontin.